Agenda Item No. 3



## TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY PLANNING COMMISSION

Get a Slice of the Apple.

## **Staff Report**

AGENDA DATE: August 21, 2013

SUBJECT: Discussion of Photovoltaic Solar Farm Requirements

- PLANNER: Carol Miller, Senior Planner
- **RECOMMENDATION:** Discuss the information presented, take public testimony and provide direction.

At the July 9, 2013 Town Council meeting, the issue of solar farms was discussed as it pertains to commercial development to ensure there are no issues as the demand for photovoltaic solar farm development increases. To date, five (5) applications for solar development have been received by the Town, four (4) of which have been approved. Following the discussion, the Council requested that the Planning Commission review the standards for solar farms and consider some revisions, including setbacks, height, and eliminating chain link as a fencing option.

To facilitate the Commission's discussion on photovoltaic solar farm development requirements, the following tables highlight the development requirements as provided for within the Development Code and North Apple Valley Industrial Specific Plan and how approved projects compare with these standards.

	Solar Development Code Standards	RVLD Development Code Standards	Project Designs As Approved
<u>Setbacks</u>			
Front – Major Rd	25 feet	50 feet (regardless of street	85 feet
Front – Local Rd	5 feet	classification)	45 feet
Side	0 feet	25 feet	47-100 feet
Rear	0 feet	40 feet	40-360 feet
Existing SFR	25 feet	n/a	
-		Accessory Structures –	
Front		50 feet	
Side		25 feet	
Rear		10 feet	
Height	Max. 35 feet for fixed	Max. 35 feet	8-15 feet for fixed tilt
-	Max. 50 feet w/ rotating tilt	Max. 16 feet for accessory	8-12 feet for rotating
	_	structure	panels
Fencing	Chain link or Wrought Iron	Chain link or Wrought Iron	Chain Link
-	Max. 10 feet	_	
		Max. 6 feet along side and	7 feet
		rear. Open decorative	
		fencing max. 6 feet in front	
		setback provided it is set	

	Solar NAVISP Standards	NAVISP Development Standards	Project Design (1 project)
<u>Setbacks</u> Front – Major Rd	25 feet	25-75 feet (depends on the	25 feet
Front – Local Rd	15 feet	street)	201661
Side	0 feet	0 feet	15 feet
Rear	0 feet	0 feet	16 feet
Existing SFR	n/a	n/a	
Height	Max. 35 feet within Airport Influence Area A-1	Max. 35 feet within Airport Influence Area A-1	8.5 feet at max tilt
	Max. 50 feet within Airport Influence Area A-2	Max. 50 feet within Airport Influence Area A-2	
		Max. 100 feet outside of Airport Influence Area	
Fencing	Chain link or Wrought Iron	Decorative open fencing w/ pilasters	Chain link
	Max. 10 feet	Max. 8 feet or up to 10 feet with PC approval	7 feet

back 5 feet.

To date, the submitted solar facilities meet the minimum building setbacks for solar development and meet the setback requirements of the underlying zones. To require the building setback as the underlying zones does not appear to be an issue, given the projects are designed with an access road around the perimeter of the solar arrays/panels which places the panels outside of the required setbacks. Does the Commission find the existing setbacks acceptable or should the Code be amended? Due to the heights requested in the approved projects, is the height limits in the Code and Specific Plan too generous? They could be reduced.

The Commission should discuss the situations where the use of chain link might be acceptable, such as along the side and rear property lines but outside the front setback or street side yards. Within the front setback decorative fencing could be used as allowed by the underlying zoning standards. In the underlying zoning of the RVLD, chain link is permitted fencing material.

Following the Commission's discussion and direction to staff, a Development Code Amendment will be brought back for the Commission's consideration.

RECOMMENDATION: Discuss and provide direction.