



TOWN OF APPLE VALLEY TOWN COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

To: Honorable Mayor and Town Council **Date:** December 8, 2015

From: Lana Tomlin, Captain **Item No:** 19
 Sheriff's Department

Marc Puckett, Assistant Town Manager

Subject: FY 2015-16 ENHANCING LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES
SUBACCOUNT (ELEAS – COPS GRANT)

T.M. Approval: _____ **Budgeted Item:** Yes No N/A

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

It is recommended that the Town Council;

- 1) Conduct the required public hearing, and
- 2) Adopt the attached Resolution No. 2015-45 accepting the COPS grant in the amount of \$111,599.00 and authorize the allocation of the FY 2015-16 Enhancing Law Enforcement Activities Subaccount (ELEAS) to supplement the juvenile officer position.

SUMMARY:

The State of California has provided supplemental funding to local law enforcement agencies through continuation of funding for the Citizen's Option for Public Safety (COPS) Enhancing Law Enforcement Activities Subaccount (ELEAS) grant program. These funds are distributed by the State to the County, which passes through the funding to local agencies proportionately based on population. However, each agency is to be allocated a minimum of \$100,000.00.

In order to receive COPS funds, the Town Council is required to hold a public hearing to consider the requested use of the funds pursuant to Government Code Section 30061(c). Upon closure of the public hearing, the Council must then authorize the acceptance and use of the funds.

Each year, these funds have been used to supplement the juvenile officer position, which was added as a result of the initial grant program. This year, staff is again recommending that Council authorize the acceptance and use of the COPS funds for the same purpose. The Town is scheduled to receive \$111,599.00 plus interest, which is a nominal decrease from last year's allocation of \$111,737.00. The 2015-16 allocation will provide sufficient funding for the continuation of the existing program.

The attached Resolution Number 2015-45 will implement the recommended action accepting the COPS grant in the amount of \$111,599.00 and authorizing the allocation of these funds to the FY 2015-16 ELEAS to supplement the juvenile officer position.

Based on the foregoing, staff recommends that the Town Council conduct the required public hearing and adopt the form resolution.

FISCAL REVIEW:

Pursuant to the COPS Grant Agreement, the Town will receive \$111,599.00 in FY 2015-16, which is a nominal decrease from the level of funding awarded in FY 2014-2015. All funds received pursuant to this grant are proposed to be allocated to supplement the juvenile office position.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Resolution Number 2015-45
2. Letter dated September 2, 2015, from State of California Department of Finance outlining San Bernardino COPS allocations by agency

RESOLUTION NO 2015-45

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ALLOCATION OF FY 2015-2016 ENHANCING LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES SUBACCOUNT (ELEAS) TO SUPPLEMENT FUNDING FOR THE JUVENILE OFFICER POSITION

WHEREAS, the State of California has extended legislation providing for Enhancing Law Enforcement Activities Subaccount (ELEAS) for the Citizens Option for Public Safety (COPS) program; and

WHEREAS, the funds are to be used to supplement front line municipal police services; and

WHEREAS, the Police Chief recommends that ELEAS funds for FY 2015-2016 be used to supplement funding for the juvenile officer position; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on December 8, 2015, regarding the use of ELEAS funds for FY 2015-2016.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Council hereby authorizes the allocation of FY 2015-2016 Enhancing Law Enforcement Activities Subaccount (ELEAS) in the estimated amount of \$111,599 plus interest to supplement funding for the juvenile officer position.

APPROVED and **ADOPTED** this 8th day of December 2015 by the Town Council of the Town of Apple Valley.

Mayor

ATTEST:

LaVonda Pearson, Town Clerk



September 2, 2015

Honorable Betty T. Yee
State Controller
300 Capitol Mall, Suite 1850
Sacramento, CA 95814

Attention: Mr. Jim Reisinger
Cost Plans and Local Apportionments

Dear Controller Yee:

Pursuant to Government Code section 30029.05, subdivision (e), paragraph (2), the Department of Finance respectfully submits the attached (Attachments I and II) percentages for the allocation of 2015-16 Citizens' Option for Public Safety (COPS) and the Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) funds deposited in the Enhancing Law Enforcement Activities Subaccount (ELEAS) in the Law Enforcement Services Account within the Local Revenue Fund 2011.

Pursuant to Government Code section 30061, subdivisions (b) and (g), subsequent to the allocation described in subdivision (d) of Section 29552, 47.08727192 percent of the remaining funds deposited in the ELEAS for the COPS (23.54363596 percent) and JJCPA (23.54363596 percent) programs are to be allocated, according to the relative population for each county and city, as follows:


- (1) 5.15 percent to the county sheriff for county jail construction and operation.
- (2) 5.15 percent to the district attorney for criminal prosecution.
- (3) 50 percent to the county or city and county to implement a comprehensive multi-agency juvenile justice plan.
- (4) 39.7 percent to the county and the cities within the county for front-line law enforcement. Note that pursuant to Government Code section 30061, subdivision (b), paragraph (3), each law enforcement jurisdiction receiving front-line law enforcement funding is to receive a minimum grant of \$100,000. The percentages included in Attachment II are calculated in a manner that provides for a minimum grant allocation of \$100,000 to each law enforcement jurisdiction listed in Attachment II.

Note that the by-county percentages for the first three allocations above: (1) county jail construction, (2) district attorney criminal prosecution, and (3) multi-agency juvenile justice plans, are specified on Attachment I and the by-county/city percentages for the fourth allocation, front-line law enforcement, are identified on Attachment II. Also note that the allocations shown on Attachments I and II may be slightly different from the final allocations made by the State Controller's Office due to rounding.

Honorable Betty T. Yee
September 2, 2015
Page 2

If you have any questions, or need additional information, please call Joshua Gauger,
Principal Program Budget Analyst, at (916) 445-8913.

Sincerely,



CHRIS RYAN
Program Budget Manager

Attachments

cc: Mr. George Lolas, Chief Operating Officer, State Controller's Office
Ms. Casandra Moore-Hudnall, Chief, Division of Accounting and Reporting, State
Controller's Office
Ms. Kathleen Howard, Executive Director, Board of State and Community Corrections

2015-16 Enhancing Law Enforcement Activities Subaccount
 Citizens' Option for Public Safety
 Front-line Law Enforcement Allocation for 2015-16

ATTACHMENT II

City/County	City/County Population Estimates 1/1/2015	Projected Allocations by City/County	Percent of Allocation by City/County
Desert Hot Springs	28,134	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Eastvale	60,633	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Hemet	82,253	\$ 128,569	0.15119159%
Indian Wells	5,194	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Indio	84,201	\$ 131,614	0.15477226%
Jurupa Valley	98,885	\$ 154,567	0.18176335%
Lake Elsinore	58,426	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
La Quinta	39,694	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Menifee	85,385	\$ 133,465	0.15694861%
Moreno Valley	200,670	\$ 313,667	0.36885727%
Murrieta	107,279	\$ 167,687	0.19719260%
Norco	25,891	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Palm Desert	51,053	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Palm Springs	46,611	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Perris	72,908	\$ 113,962	0.13401428%
Rancho Mirage	17,889	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Riverside	317,307	\$ 495,982	0.58325108%
San Jacinto	45,895	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Temecula	108,920	\$ 170,253	0.20020897%
Wildomar	34,148	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Unincorporated	368,823	\$ 576,506	0.67794412%
Sacramento	1,470,912	\$ 2,459,433	2.89217799%
Citrus Heights	85,147	\$ 133,093	0.15651114%
Elk Grove	162,899	\$ 254,627	0.29942931%
Folsom	74,909	\$ 117,090	0.13769238%
Galt	24,607	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Isleton	820	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Rancho Cordova	69,112	\$ 108,029	0.12703674%
Sacramento	480,105	\$ 750,451	0.88249474%
Unincorporated	573,313	\$ 896,144	1.05382304%
San Benito	58,344	\$ 300,000	0.35278596%
Hollister	37,305	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
San Juan Bautista	1,930	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Unincorporated	19,109	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
San Bernardino	2,104,291	\$ 3,943,665	4.63756505%
Adelanto	33,084	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Apple Valley	71,396	\$ 111,599	0.13123503%
Barstow	23,407	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Big Bear Lake	5,165	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Chino	84,465	\$ 132,027	0.15525753%
Chino Hills	77,596	\$ 121,290	0.14263143%
Colton	53,384	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Fontana	204,312	\$ 319,359	0.37555173%
Grand Terrace	12,352	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Hesperia	92,177	\$ 144,082	0.16943318%
Highland	54,332	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Loma Linda	23,751	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Montclair	38,458	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Needles	4,940	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Ontario	168,777	\$ 263,815	0.31023384%

2015-16 Enhancing Law Enforcement Activities Subaccount
Citizens' Option for Public Safety
Front-line Law Enforcement Allocation for 2015-16

ATTACHMENT II

City/County	City/County Population Estimates 1/1/2015	Projected Allocations by City/County	Percent of Allocation by City/County
Rancho Cucamonga	174,064	\$ 272,079	0.31995202%
Redlands	70,398	\$ 110,039	0.12940058%
Rialto	102,092	\$ 159,580	0.18765823%
San Bernardino	213,933	\$ 334,398	0.39323636%
Twentynine Palms	25,846	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Upland	75,787	\$ 118,462	0.13930626%
Victorville	121,168	\$ 189,397	0.22272237%
Yucaipa	52,942	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Yucca Valley	21,355	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Unincorporated	299,110	\$ 467,538	0.54980265%
San Diego	3,227,496	\$ 5,452,783	6.41221732%
Carlsbad	110,653	\$ 172,961	0.20339445%
Chula Vista	257,989	\$ 403,262	0.47421697%
Coronado	23,497	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Del Mar	4,238	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
El Cajon	101,444	\$ 158,567	0.18646712%
Encinitas	61,518	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Escondido	147,294	\$ 230,235	0.27074532%
Imperial Beach	26,761	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
La Mesa	58,813	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Lemon Grove	26,199	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
National City	59,827	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Oceanside	171,682	\$ 268,356	0.31557359%
Poway	49,041	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
San Diego	1,368,061	\$ 2,138,412	2.51467207%
San Marcos	90,827	\$ 141,971	0.16695171%
Santee	55,805	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Solana Beach	13,104	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Vista	96,413	\$ 150,703	0.17721949%
Unincorporated	504,330	\$ 788,317	0.92702340%
San Francisco	845,602	\$ 1,321,758	1.55432524%
San Francisco	845,602	\$ 1,321,758	1.55432524%
San Joaquin	719,511	\$ 1,358,341	1.59734528%
Escalon	7,413	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Lathrop	20,353	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Lodi	63,719	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Manteca	73,787	\$ 115,336	0.13562999%
Ripon	14,922	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Stockton	306,999	\$ 479,869	0.56430365%
Tracy	85,296	\$ 133,326	0.15678501%
Unincorporated	147,022	\$ 229,810	0.27024535%
San Luis Obispo	274,293	\$ 887,936	1.04417096%
Arroyo Grande	17,428	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Atascadero	29,169	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
El Paso de Robles	30,522	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Grover Beach	13,144	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Morro Bay	10,284	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Pismo Beach	7,711	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
San Luis Obispo	45,802	\$ 100,000	0.11759532%
Unincorporated	120,233	\$ 187,936	0.22100372%